



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/466,607 06/06/95 WHITESIDE

L 952393

EXAMINER

SNOW, B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3308

DATE MAILED:

09/11/95

R HAERKAMP
ROGERS HOWELL AND HAERKAMP
7733 FORSYTH BOULEVARD
SUITE 1400
ST LOUIS MO 63105

33M1/0911

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☒ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on _____ ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. ☒ Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
3. ☒ Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
4. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
5. ☐ Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
6. ☐ _____

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-15 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 1-15 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other

RECEIVED
JUN 25 2001
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

EXAMINER'S ACTION



Serial Number: 08/466,607
Art Unit: 3308

-2-

35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tronzo (4,681,589). See figures 4-5. The soft plastic insert has rings 48 and 50 which lock into grooves 52 and 54 on the meal shell, sealing and interlocking the insert and shell.

In regards to claim 11, 48 is interpreted as the seal and 50 and 54 are the interlock components.

35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same

Serial Number: 08/466,607
Art Unit: 3308

-3-

person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Tronzo (4,681,589) in view of Muller et al (4,936,861).

Tronzo discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Tronzo is silent in regards to using peripheral tabs or notches on the liner or shell. Muller et al teaches the use of tabs (projections 14) and notches (grooves 15) on an acetabular implant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the tabs and notches of Muller et al with the implant of Tronzo for a means of securing the shell in a nonrotatable manner.

35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claim 10, "protruding lips" is indefinite.

PRIOR ART MADE OF RECORD


The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Adrey et al: note figures 4-6.
Note the following: Jean-Jacques, Zurcherstrasse, MacCollum et al, Schryver et al, and Averill et al.

Serial Number: 08/466,607
Art Unit: 3308

-4-

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed
to Bruce Snow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3255.


B. Snow
August 29, 1995


DAVID H. WILLSE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3300



TO SEPARATE, HOLD TOP AND BOTTOM EDGES, SNAP-APART AND DISCARD CARBON

FORM PTA-100
(REV. 2-99)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SERIAL NO.

08/466607

GROUP/ART UNIT

3308

ATTACHMENT
TO
PAPER
NUMBER

3

NOTICE OF REFERENCES CITED

APPLICANT(S)

WHITESIDE

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
A	4681589	7/1987	TRONZO	623	22	
B	4936861	6/1990	Muller et al	623	22	
C	5314487	5/1994	Schryver et al	623	22	
D	5443519	8/1995	Aderill et al	623	22	
E	5021062	6/1991	Adrey et al	623	22	
F	4904265	2/1990	MacCollum et al	623	22	
G						
H						
I						
J						
K						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	PERTINENT SHTS. DWG.	PP. SPEC.
L	2686791	8/1993	FR	JEAN- Jacques	623	22		
M	0245527	11/1987	EP	Zweymuller	—	—		
N								
O								
P								
Q								

OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

R	
S	
T	
U	

EXAMINER

B. Brown

DATE

8/29/95

* A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this office action.
(See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, section 707.05 (a).)

RECEIVED

JUN 25 2001

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700